

Introduction:

Below is a copy of the letter I am about to post. It is addressed to the lady who answered my previous letter on the Home Secretary's behalf. As you will see, it expands on Gary's plight to cover domestic issues here in Britain, and how we as a nation could benefit from the advanced technologies that the spacecraft (etc) use. I hope that I am not diluting Gary's situation, but I feel that it is important to at least partially explain why Gary's discoveries are so important / potentially useful. etc.

I am also sending a paper copy of Dr. Richard Boylan's report. Whilst it is possible that some facts in that report might be slightly inaccurate / out of date (after all it is based on information gleaned, "hush hush" discussions, etc) I feel it important to demonstrate that the information really is in the public domain. When I receive a response I will scan and share it.

If there is a third letter then I will quite possibly mention Paul Hellyer's speech/university lecture in Toronto last year. Apart from accusing the US of trying to start a shooting war with the off-planet people it is possible that Paul could be named as a third independent source of information stating that the space fleet exists.

Best regards,

Simon

Letter

Follows_____

9 June 2006

C A Talbot
The Home Office
c/o Direct Communications Unit
2 Marsham Street
London SW1P 4DF

Your Ref: T22673/6

Dear Ms Talbot,

Thank you for your response to my letter 18th May on behalf of the Home Secretary, the Rt Hon John Reid MP. Unfortunately your explanation on the requirements for the extradition of British people to other nations does nothing to allay my concerns that mis-carriages of justice are inevitable; indeed if anything it actually increases these concerns. I had no idea that there are as

many as 47 countries which can extradite British people without the need for prima facie evidence, ie: on what more or less could be said to be "a whim". I am horrified!

Near the end of your reply you state the following... *"The key issue is to ensure that offences are dealt with in the place where they can be most effectively prosecuted. For example, where the main witnesses and the main evidence are in another state, and that state has a justice system comparable to our on in terms of fairness, it is more appropriate for the defendants to face justice there"*. In response I would point out that with people being held without trial in places such as Guantanamo Bay the US can hardly be described as a country with a fair system of justice. Indeed I would suggest that it has more in common with the former Soviet Union or Nazi Germany, which were police states that also put justice aside to incarcerate people for political purposes.

The information coming out of the USA suggests that for "punishment" Gary's trial could be a secret military tribunal followed by a 60+ year jail term plus a fine of one million dollars. Since Gary is now aged 40 the proposed jail term could be considered to be a life sentence. To me this sounds like neither a fair trial or nor a fair punishment commensurate with the alleged crimes. Especially as when he was first arrested the British police suggested that he might be given community service, and not even a custodial sentence.

I am very alarmed by the way the Home Office seems to be happy to extradite someone for activities which the British police investigated and decided (or the CPS decided on their behalf) did not warrant a prosecution here in the UK.

As I said before, to me it seems certain that the US government's primary interest is to silence Gary, and not that justice be done - and be seen to be done. The U.S. Justice Department has basically declared Gary a terrorist, as specified by the U.S. Patriot Act. In this respect their actions could be said to be politically motivated - since when does Britain extradite political prisoners to countries which wish to imprison them for their actions?

As is now reasonably well-known, Gary discovered exceptionally sensitive information the nature of which we, the public are not supposed to know. This largely explains why I am convinced that his extradition is not so much about punishing him for wrongdoing (nor even a deterrent against others trying to copy him) but an attempt to "take him out" in retaliation for his discoveries.

Included in the information which Gary discovered is that the USA already has a fleet of space-faring aircraft. Something that would sound incredible if it were not for there being a totally independent second source of such information already in the public domain. This other source of information comes from Dr Boylan, who lives in California. So as to leave no doubt about this I am attaching to this letter a copy of an eight page article written by Dr Boylan.

This comes from his website, and with his approval. Online it can be found at <http://drboylan.com/xplanes2.html>. Dr. Boylan has also advised me that he is willing to come to Britain and stand as a witness for Gary, although he would need his travel costs to be met.

I would go further than just Gary's discoveries and look at the implications of such technologies being in existence and as to how they could benefit us here in the UK. As you may know our government has been undertaking a review of our nation's future energy requirements and sources such as might be needed by 2020 and beyond. This is being done as part of a policy of long term planning with the aims of both tackling climate change and ensuring secure & affordable energy supplies in the UK. It is my understanding that at least some of the advanced aircraft use clean, pollution-free energy systems which could be adapted for other transports, and even to replace fossil fuel and nuclear sources for domestic electricity. The potential environmental benefits of this are very significant - the total eradication of fossil fuel sourced pollution plus, by not building any more nuclear power facilities, not adding to the burden of dealing with spent nuclear fuel that future generations already face. The House of Commons environmental audit committee recently said that carbon emissions from transport are 'still moving in the wrong direction' but apart from clobbering motorists (and promising to clobber the airlines) with yet more & higher taxes the government has failed to find effective ways to solve this issue.

There is also an urgent need for action to tackle urban air pollution - it is approximately 50 years since clean air legislation resulted in the ending of coal sourced smogs yet once again the air that we breathe in our towns & cities is so heavily polluted from fossil fuel sourced smogs that people are suffering ill health (and even dying) from it. According to a Government report issued by the *Committee on the Medical Effects of Air Pollutants* air pollution hastens the deaths of between 12000 and 24000 British people a year and is associated with 14000 and 24000 hospital admissions and re-admissions - causing sufferers and their families untold amounts of misery and costing our health service & taxpayers £billions. It could be asked why our predecessors bothered, only to be failed by future generations (ie: us!)

So, in conclusion I would like to ask the Home Secretary to refuse to permit the extradition of Gary McKinnon and that these energy systems be brought out into the public domain & made available to benefit everyone here in the UK. If we started now then by 2020 we could be benefiting from unlimited supplies of clean, environmentally friendly energy as well as a total eradication of (urban / global) air pollution.

Yours Sincerely,

Simon ()

